

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*,
R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED**

AND IN THE MATTER OF ROCKPORT BLOCKER, LLC, THE ROCKPORT GROUP HOLDINGS, LLC, TRG 1-P HOLDINGS, LLC, TRG INTERMEDIATE HOLDINGS, LLC, TRG CLASS D, LLC, THE ROCKPORT GROUP, LLC, THE ROCKPORT COMPANY, LLC, DRYDOCK FOOTWEAR, LLC, DD MANAGEMENT SERVICES LLC AND ROCKPORT CANADA ULC (THE "DEBTORS")

APPLICATION OF ROCKPORT BLOCKER, LLC, UNDER SECTION 46 OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

**FACTUM OF THE APPLICANT, ROCKPORT BLOCKER, LLC
(Returnable July 20, 2018)**

BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP
Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide St. W.
Toronto, ON M5H 4E3

Roger Jaipargas – LSO No. 43275C
Tel: 416-367-6266
rjaipargas@blg.com

Alex MacFarlane – LSO No. 28133Q
Tel: 416-367-6305
amacfarlane@blg.com

Evita Ferreira – LSO No. 69967K
Tel: 416-367-6708
eferreira@blg.com

Lawyers for Rockport Blocker, LLC, The Rockport Group Holdings, LLC, TRG 1-P Holdings, LLC, TRG Intermediate Holdings, LLC, TRG Class D, LLC, The Rockport Group, LLC, The Rockport Company, LLC, Drydock Footwear, LLC, DD Management Services LLC and Rockport Canada ULC

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*,
R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED**

AND IN THE MATTER OF ROCKPORT BLOCKER, LLC, THE ROCKPORT GROUP HOLDINGS, LLC, TRG 1-P HOLDINGS, LLC, TRG INTERMEDIATE HOLDINGS, LLC, TRG CLASS D, LLC, THE ROCKPORT GROUP, LLC, THE ROCKPORT COMPANY, LLC, DRYDOCK FOOTWEAR, LLC, DD MANAGEMENT SERVICES LLC AND ROCKPORT CANADA ULC (THE "DEBTORS")

APPLICATION OF ROCKPORT BLOCKER, LLC, UNDER SECTION 46 OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

PART I - OVERVIEW

1. The Applicant, Rockport Blocker, LLC ("**Rockport Blocker**" or the "**Applicant**"), brings this motion under Part IV of the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, as amended (the "**CCAA**"), seeking an order recognizing certain orders granted by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "**US Court**") in respect of the Applicant and several related companies.
2. The Rockport Group Holdings, LLC, TRG 1-P Holdings, LLC, TRG Intermediate Holdings, LLC, TRG Class D, LLC, The Rockport Group, LLC, The Rockport Company, LLC, Drydock Footwear, LLC, DD Management Services LLC (with Rockport Blocker, collectively, the "**US Debtors**") and Rockport Canada ULC ("**Rockport Canada**" and, together with the US Debtors, the "**Rockport Group**") are a leading global designer, distributor and retailer of comfort footwear in more than fifty markets worldwide.
3. On May 14, 2018 (the "**Filing Date**"), each entity in the Rockport Group filed voluntary petitions for relief pursuant to Chapter 11 of Title 11 ("**Chapter 11**") of the United States Code (the "**US Code**") (collectively, the "**Petitions**" and each a "**Petition**") with the US Court. The

Rockport Group has requested that the Petitions be jointly administered for procedural purposes only.

4. On May 15, 2018, the Honourable Judge Silverstein granted various orders (the “**First Day Orders**”), which were recognized by the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Commercial List) (the “**Canadian Court**”) on May 16, 2018.

5. On June 5, 2018, June 12, 2018, and June 13, 2018, the Honourable Judge Silverstein granted, or entered on an unopposed basis, various orders in the US Proceedings, the details of which are reviewed in the Affidavit of Paul Kosturos sworn June 13, 2018 (the “**Second Kosturos Affidavit**”), and which were recognized by the Canadian Court on June 14, 2018.

6. On June 29, 2018, July 5 and July 18, 2018, the US Court entered various orders made by the Honourable Judge Silverstein in the US Proceedings, the details of which are reviewed in the Affidavit of Paul Kosturos sworn July 19, 2018 (the “**Third Kosturos Affidavit**”), a summary of which is set out below.

7. Rockport Blocker seeks from the Canadian Court, an Order recognizing and enforcing the following orders:

- (a) an order, *inter alia*, (i) authorizing the Debtors to employ and retain Houlihan Lokey Capital, Inc. (“**Houlihan Lokey**”) as their financial advisor and investment banker, *nunc pro tunc*, to the Filing Date, pursuant to an engagement letter dated December 11, 2017, (the “**Houlihan Engagement Letter**”), by and between Houlihan Lokey and Rockport, and (ii) approving the terms of the Houlihan Engagement Letter, (the “**Houlihan Retention Order**”);
- (b) a final order, *inter alia*, (i) approving post-Petition financing, and (ii) granting liens and super-priority administrative expense claim status to Citizens Business Capital, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent for the lenders under the Senior Secured Super-Priority Debtor-in-Possession Revolving Credit Agreement (the “**DIP ABL Agent**”) for the DIP ABL Lenders (as defined in the First Day Declaration) (the “**Final DIP Financing Order**”);

- (c) an order, *inter alia*, (i) authorizing and approving the sale (the “**Sale**”) of the Purchased Assets (as defined in the asset purchase agreement dated as of May 13, 2018 (the “**Stalking Horse Agreement**”) between the Debtors and CB Marathon Opco, LLC (“**Marathon**”), an affiliate of Charlesbank Equity Fund IX, Limited Partnership, to Marathon free and clear of all liens, claims, interests and encumbrances, except certain permitted encumbrances as determined by the Debtors and Marathon; and (ii) authorizing the assumption and assignment of certain executory contracts and unexpired leases in connection with the proposed Sale; (the “**Sale Order**”); and
- (d) an order approving stipulation modifying final cash management order to permit intercompany transfers between Rockport Canada ULC and The Rockport Company, LLC (the “**Intercompany Payment Order**”, together with the Houlihan Retention Order, the Final DIP Financing Order and the Sale Order, the “**Houlihan Retention, Final DIP Financing, Sale and Intercompany Payment Orders**”).

8. Any capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings given to such terms in the Third Kosturos Affidavit.

PART II - FACTS

9. The relevant facts in connection with this Motion are more fully set out in the Third Kosturos Affidavit.

PART III - THE ISSUE

10. The issue on this Motion is as follows:

- (a) Should the Court grant the Order sought by Rockport Blocker pursuant to section 49 of the CCAA recognizing the Houlihan Retention, Final DIP Financing, Sale and Intercompany Payment Orders?

PART IV - THE LAW

A. Part IV of the CCAA

11. The purpose of Part IV of the CCAA is to effect cross-border insolvencies and create a system under which foreign insolvency proceedings can be recognized in Canada. Orders under this part are intended, among other things, to promote cooperation between the courts and other competent authorities in Canada with those of foreign jurisdictions. Such orders are also intended to promote the fair and efficient administration of cross-border insolvencies, which also protects the interests of debtors, creditors and other interested persons.

Horsehead Holding Corp., Re, 2016 ONSC 958 (Ont. S.C.J. [Commercial List])
[*Horsehead*] at ¶15, Book of Authorities (“BOA”), Tab 1.

CCAA at s. 44.

12. In the context of Part IV of the CCAA, the Court is granted the authority to apply any legal or equitable rules necessary, provided that they are not inconsistent with the provisions of the CCAA.

CCAA at s. 61(1).

B. Recognition of the Houlihan Retention, the Final DIP Financing, Sale and Intercompany Payment Orders

13. Section 49 of the CCAA provides that the Court may make any order that it considers appropriate if it is satisfied that it is necessary for the protection of a debtor company’s property or that the order is in the interests of a creditor or creditors. Section 50 of the CCAA further provides that an order made under Part IV of the CCAA, including pursuant to section 49, may be made on any terms and conditions that the Court considers appropriate. Further, once an order recognizing a foreign proceeding is made, the Court is required to cooperate, to the maximum extent possible with the foreign representative and the foreign court, so long as the requested relief is not inconsistent with the CCAA or which would raise concerns regarding public policy.

CCAA at ss. 49, 50, 52(1) and 61(2).

14. Rockport Blocker seeks the recognition of the Houlihan Retention, the Final DIP Financing, Sale and Intercompany Payment Orders that were obtained by the Rockport Group from the US Court.

15. The Houlihan Retention, the Final DIP Financing, Sale and Intercompany Payment Orders are not inconsistent with any order that may be granted under the CCAA. Canadian courts have regularly exercised their jurisdiction under sections 49 and 50 of the CCAA to recognize Chapter 11 bankruptcy orders with similar effect to the Houlihan Retention, the Final DIP Financing, Sale and Intercompany Payment Orders to protect the assets of the Rockport Group and to authorize and approve the sale of the Debtors' assets, as contemplated by the Sale Order.

Horsehead at ¶¶14, 42, BOA, Tab 1.

Massachusetts Elephant & Castle Group Inc. (Re), 2011 ONSC 4201 (Ont. S.C.J. [Commercial List]) at ¶¶36, 40, BOA, Tab 2.

Order and Endorsement of Morawetz J. dated October 23, 2017, *In the Matter of WYNIT Distribution, LLC et al.*, BOA, Tab 3.

Order and Endorsement of Morawetz J. dated March 28, 2011, *Application of TerreStar Networks Inc.*, BOA, Tab 4.

Order of Morawetz J. dated October 10, 2013, *Application of Allied Systems Holdings, Inc.*, BOA, Tab 5.

16. In cross-border insolvencies, Canadian and U.S. courts routinely seek to complement, coordinate and, where appropriate, accommodate the proceedings of the other Court in order to enable cross-border enterprises to successfully restructure. Comity and cooperation are increasingly important in the restructuring context because as businesses become more internationalized those businesses will have a significant number of assets and also carry on business in several jurisdictions. Without coordination by the courts with respect to cross-border restructuring proceedings, the result would be multiple proceedings with the likely consequence of inconsistent court orders and decisions and general uncertainty as to the direction and effect on creditors and stakeholders in various jurisdictions of the restructuring proceedings.

Babcock & Wilcox Canada Ltd. (Re), 2000 CarswellOnt 704 (Ont. S.C.J. [Commercial List]) [**Babcock**] at ¶¶9-10, BOA, Tab 6, citing *Taylor v Dow Corning Australia Pty. Ltd.* (December 19, 1997), Doc. 8438/95 (Australia Vic. Sup. Ct.).

Order of Newbould J. dated January 25, 2017, *In the Matter of Modular Space Intermediate Holdings Inc. et al.*, BOA, Tab 7.

17. The Houlihan Retention, the Final DIP Financing, Sale and Intercompany Payment Orders were obtained by the Rockport Group in order to facilitate their restructuring efforts in the US Proceedings by, among other things, minimizing the adverse effects of the US Proceedings on their business, and preserving and maximizing the potential value of the Rockport Group's assets for the benefit of their creditors and other stakeholders.

18. When a Canadian Court considers whether it should recognize a foreign order, including an order made in a Chapter 11 proceeding, the following considerations should be taken into account:

- (a) the principles of comity and the need to encourage cooperation between courts of various jurisdictions;
- (b) the need to accord respect to foreign bankruptcy and insolvency legislation unless in substance generally it is so different from the bankruptcy and insolvency laws of Canada or diverges radically from the processes in Canada;
- (c) whether stakeholders will be treated equitably, and in particular whether recognition will ensure that, to the extent reasonably possible, stakeholders are treated equally, regardless of the jurisdiction to which they reside;
- (d) the importance of promoting plans that allow the enterprises to reorganize globally, especially where there is an established interdependence on a transnational basis. To the extent reasonably practical, one jurisdiction should take "charge" of the principal administration of the enterprise's reorganization, where this approach will facilitate a potential reorganization and which will respect the claims of stakeholders in all jurisdictions and does not detract from the net benefits that may be available from alternative approaches; and
- (e) that all affected stakeholders receive effective notice as is reasonably practicable in the circumstances.

The appropriate level involvement by the court will depend to a significant degree upon the court's nexus to the enterprise. Where one jurisdiction is to have an ancillary role, the court in the ancillary jurisdiction should be provided with information on an ongoing basis and be kept apprised of developments regarding the reorganizational efforts in the foreign principal jurisdiction and stakeholders in the ancillary jurisdiction should be afforded appropriate access to the proceedings in the principal jurisdiction.

Babcock at ¶21, BOA, Tab 6.

Xerium Technologies Inc., Re, 2010 ONSC 3974 at ¶¶26-27, BOA, Tab 8.

19. The Houlihan Retention, the Final DIP Financing, Sale and Intercompany Payment Orders meet the above requirements, such that it is appropriate that the Court recognize and give effect to such orders. None of the Houlihan Retention, the Final DIP Financing, Sale and Intercompany Payment Orders breach any applicable Canadian law. Rockport Blocker submits that recognition of the Houlihan Retention, the Final DIP Financing, Sale and Intercompany Payment Orders is necessary to ensure that the purposes of the CCAA are satisfied and the Rockport Group is afforded the most favourable opportunity to restructure its affairs.

20. Under the pre-Petition ABL facility, Rockport Canada was a co-borrower and a guarantor of the US Debtors' borrowings. Pursuant to the DIP ABL Financing Documents, and consistent with the pre-Petition financing terms, Rockport Canada is (i) a co-borrower, (ii) the Canadian sublimit under the DIP ABL Financing is zero, and (iii) Rockport Canada guarantees all of the obligations of the US Debtors under the DIP ABL Financing Documents. The assets of the US Debtors and the assets of Rockport Canada, as co-borrower and guarantor, both provide security for the borrowings under the DIP ABL Financing. Accordingly, recognition of the Final DIP Financing Order is appropriate in the circumstances of this particular proceeding. In contrast to the facts that were before the court in *Payless*, Rockport Canada's obligations under the DIP ABL Financing Documents are consistent with Rockport Canada's obligations under the pre-Petition ABL facility.

Payless Holdings Inc. LLC, Re, 2017 ONSC 2321 (Ont. S.C.J. [Commercial List]) [*Payless*] at ¶¶18, 25-27, 41-43, 48 and 50, BOA, Tab 9.

21. Rockport Blocker requests that the Court recognize the Houlihan Retention, the Final DIP Financing, Sale and Intercompany Payment Orders.

PART V - RELIEF REQUESTED

22. Rockport Blocker requests that this Court grant the Order in the form included at Tab 3 of the Motion Record.

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19th day of July, 2018.

R. JAIPARGAS A. MACFARLANE E. FERREIRA

Roger Jaipargas / Alex MacFarlane / Evita Ferreira

Lawyers for Rockport Blocker, LLC, The Rockport Group Holdings, LLC, TRG 1-P Holdings, LLC, TRG Intermediate Holdings, LLC, TRG Class D, LLC, The Rockport Group, LLC, The Rockport Company, LLC, Drydock Footwear, LLC, DD Management Services LLC and Rockport Canada ULC

SCHEDULE "A"

LIST OF AUTHORITIES

1. *Horsehead Holding Corp. (Re)*, 2016 ONSC 958 (Ont. S.C.J. [Commercial List])
2. *Massachusetts Elephant & Castle Group Inc. (Re)*, 2011 ONSC 4201 (Ont. S.C.J. [Commercial List])
3. Order and Endorsement of Morawetz J. dated October 23, 2017, *In the Matter of WYNIT Distribution, LLC et al.*
4. Order and Endorsement of Morawetz J. dated March 28, 2011, *Application of TerreStar Networks Inc.*
5. Order of Morawetz J. dated October 10, 2013, *Application of Allied Systems Holdings, Inc.*
6. *Babcock & Wilcox Canada Ltd. (Re)*, 2000 CarswellOnt 704 (Ont. S.C.J. [Commercial List])
7. Order of Newbould J. dated January 25, 2017, *In the Matter of Modular Space Intermediate Holdings Inc. et al.*
8. *Xerium Technologies Inc., Re*, 2010 ONSC 3974 (Ont. S.C.J. [Commercial List])
9. *Payless Holdings Inc. LLC, Re*, 2017 ONSC 2321 (Ont. S.C.J. [Commercial List])

SCHEDULE "B"

STATUTES

Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, as amended

PART IV

CROSS-BORDER INSOLVENCIES

Purpose

44. The purpose of this Part is to provide mechanisms for dealing with cases of cross-border insolvencies and to promote

- (a) cooperation between the courts and other competent authorities in Canada with those of foreign jurisdictions in cases of cross-border insolvencies;
- (b) greater legal certainty for trade and investment;
- (c) the fair and efficient administration of cross-border insolvencies that protects the interests of creditors and other interested persons, and those of debtor companies;
- (d) the protection and the maximization of the value of debtor company's property; and
- (e) the rescue of financially troubled businesses to protect investment and preserve employment.

Interpretation

Definitions

45. (1) The following definitions apply in this Part.

"foreign court" means a judicial or other authority competent to control or supervise a foreign proceeding.

"foreign main proceeding" means a foreign proceeding in a jurisdiction where the debtor company has the centre of its main interests.

"foreign non-main proceeding" means a foreign proceeding, other than a foreign main proceeding.

"foreign proceeding" means a judicial or an administrative proceeding, including an interim proceeding, in a jurisdiction outside Canada dealing with creditors' collective interests generally under any law relating to bankruptcy or insolvency in which a debtor company's business and financial affairs are subject to control or supervision by a foreign court for the purpose of reorganization.

“foreign representative” means a person or body, including one appointed on an interim basis, who is authorized, in a foreign proceeding respect of a debtor company, to

- (a) monitor the debtor company’s business and financial affairs for the purpose of reorganization; or
- (b) act as a representative in respect of the foreign proceeding.

Centre of debtor company’s main interests

(2) For the purposes of this Part, in the absence of proof to the contrary, a debtor company’s registered office is deemed to be the centre of its main interests.

Recognition of Foreign Proceeding

Application for recognition of a foreign proceeding

46. (1) A foreign representative may apply to the court for recognition of the foreign proceeding in respect of which he or she is a foreign representative.

Documents that must accompany application

(2) Subject to subsection (3), the application must be accompanied by

- (a) a certified copy of the instrument, however designated, that commenced the foreign proceeding or a certificate from the foreign court affirming the existence of the foreign proceeding;
- (b) a certified copy of the instrument, however designated, authorizing the foreign representative to act in that capacity or a certificate from the foreign court affirming the foreign representative’s authority to act in that capacity; and
- (c) a statement identifying all foreign proceedings in respect of the debtor company that are known to the foreign representative.

Documents may be considered as proof

(3) The court may, without further proof, accept the documents referred to in paragraphs (2)(a) and (b) as evidence that the proceeding to which they relate is a foreign proceeding and that the applicant is a foreign representative in respect of the foreign proceeding.

Other evidence

(4) In the absence of the documents referred to in paragraphs (2)(a) and (b), the court may accept any other evidence of the existence of the foreign proceeding and of the foreign represent-ative’s authority that it considers appropriate.

Translation

(5) The court may require a translation of any document accompanying the application.

Order recognizing foreign proceeding

47. (1) If the court is satisfied that the application for the recognition of a foreign proceeding relates to a foreign proceeding and that the applicant is a foreign representative in respect of that foreign proceeding, the court shall make an order recognizing the foreign proceeding.

Nature of foreign proceeding to be specified

(2) The court shall specify in the order whether the foreign proceeding is a foreign main proceeding or a foreign non-main proceeding.

Order relating to recognition of a foreign main proceeding

48. (1) Subject to subsections (2) to (4), on the making of an order recognizing a foreign proceeding that is specified to be a foreign main proceeding, the court shall make an order, subject to any terms and conditions it considers appropriate,

- (a) staying, until otherwise ordered by the court, for any period that the court considers necessary, all proceedings taken or that might be taken against the debtor company under the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act or the Winding-up and Restructuring Act;
- (b) restraining, until otherwise ordered by the court, further proceedings in any action, suit or proceeding against the debtor company;
- (c) prohibiting, until otherwise ordered by the court, the commencement of any action, suit or proceeding against the debtor company; and
- (d) prohibiting the debtor company from selling or otherwise disposing of, outside the ordinary course of its business, any of the debtor company's property in Canada that relates to the business and prohibiting the debtor company from selling or otherwise disposing of any of its other property in Canada.

Scope of order

(2) The order made under subsection (1) must be consistent with any order that may be made under this Act.

When subsection (1) does not apply

(3) Subsection (1) does not apply if any proceedings under this Act have been commenced in respect of the debtor company at the time the order recognizing the foreign proceeding is made.

Application of this and other Acts

(4) Nothing in subsection (1) precludes the debtor company from commencing or continuing proceedings under this Act, the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act or the Winding-up and Restructuring Act in respect of the debtor company.

Other orders

49. (1) If an order recognizing a foreign proceeding is made, the court may, on application by the foreign representative who applied for the order, if the court is satisfied that it is necessary for the protection of the debtor company's property or the interests of a creditor or creditors, make any order that it considers appropriate, including an order

- (a) if the foreign proceeding is a foreign non-main proceeding, referred to in subsection 48(1);
- (b) respecting the examination of witnesses, the taking of evidence or the delivery of information concerning the debtor company's property, business and financial affairs, debts, liabilities and obligations; and
- (c) authorizing the foreign representative to monitor the debtor company's business and financial affairs in Canada for the purpose of reorganization.

Restriction

(2) If any proceedings under this Act have been commenced in respect of the debtor company at the time an order recognizing the foreign proceeding is made, an order made under subsection (1) must be consistent with any order that may be made in any proceedings under this Act.

Application of this and other Acts

(3) The making of an order under paragraph (1)(a) does not preclude the commencement or the continuation of proceedings under this Act, the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act or the Winding-up and Restructuring Act in respect of the debtor company.

Terms and conditions of orders

50. An order under this Part may be made on any terms and conditions that the court considers appropriate in the circumstances.

Commencement or continuation of proceedings

51. If an order is made recognizing a foreign proceeding, the foreign representative may commence and continue proceedings under this Act in respect of a debtor company as if the foreign representative were a creditor of the debtor company, or the debtor company, as the case may be.

Obligations

Cooperation — court

52. (1) If an order recognizing a foreign proceeding is made, the court shall cooperate, to the maximum extent possible, with the foreign representative and the foreign court involved in the foreign proceeding.

Cooperation — other authorities in Canada

(2) If any proceedings under this Act have been commenced in respect of a debtor company and an order recognizing a foreign proceeding is made in respect of the debtor company, every person who exercises powers or performs duties and functions under the proceedings under this Act shall cooperate, to the maximum extent possible, with the foreign representative and the foreign court involved in the foreign proceeding.

Forms of cooperation

(3) For the purpose of this section, cooperation may be provided by any appropriate means, including

- (a) the appointment of a person to act at the direction of the court;
- (b) the communication of information by any means considered appropriate by the court;
- (c) the coordination of the administration and supervision of the debtor company's assets and affairs;
- (d) the approval or implementation by courts of agreements concerning the coordination of proceedings; and
- (e) the coordination of concurrent proceedings regarding the same debtor company.

Obligations of foreign representative

53. If an order recognizing a foreign proceeding is made, the foreign representative who applied for the order shall

- (a) without delay, inform the court of
 - (i) any substantial change in the status of the recognized foreign proceeding,
 - (ii) any substantial change in the status of the foreign representative's authority to act in that capacity, and
 - (iii) any other foreign proceeding in respect of the same debtor company that becomes known to the foreign representative; and
- (b) publish, without delay after the order is made, once a week for two consecutive weeks, or as otherwise directed by the court, in one or more newspapers in Canada specified by the court, a notice containing the prescribed information.

Multiple Proceedings

Concurrent proceedings

54. If any proceedings under this Act in respect of a debtor company are commenced at any time after an order recognizing the foreign proceeding is made, the court shall review any order made

under section 49 and, if it determines that the order is inconsistent with any orders made in the proceedings under this Act, the court shall amend or revoke the order.

Multiple foreign proceedings

55. (1) If, at any time after an order is made in respect of a foreign non-main proceeding in respect of a debtor company, an order recognizing a foreign main proceeding is made in respect of the debtor company, the court shall review any order made under section 49 in respect of the foreign non-main proceeding and, if it determines that the order is inconsistent with any orders made under that section in respect of the foreign main proceedings, the court shall amend or revoke the order.

Multiple foreign proceedings

(2) If, at any time after an order is made in respect of a foreign non-main proceeding in respect of the debtor company, an order recognizing another foreign non-main proceeding is made in respect of the debtor company, the court shall, for the purpose of facilitating the coordination of the foreign non-main proceedings, review any order made under section 49 in respect of the first recognized proceeding and amend or revoke the order if it considers it appropriate.

Miscellaneous Provisions

Authorization to act as representative of proceeding under this Act

56. The court may authorize any person or body to act as a representative in respect of any proceeding under this Act for the purpose of having them recognized in a jurisdiction outside Canada.

Foreign representative status

57. An application by a foreign representative for any order under this Part does not submit the foreign representative to the jurisdiction of the court for any other purpose except with regard to the costs of the proceedings, but the court may make any order under this Part conditional on the compliance by the foreign representative with any other order of the court.

Foreign proceeding appeal

58. A foreign representative is not prevented from making an application to the court under this Part by reason only that proceedings by way of appeal or review have been taken in a foreign proceeding, and the court may, on an application if such proceedings have been taken, grant relief as if the proceedings had not been taken.

Presumption of insolvency

59. For the purposes of this Part, if an insolvency or a reorganization or a similar order has been made in respect of a debtor company in a foreign proceeding, a certified copy of the order is, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, proof that the debtor company is insolvent and proof of the appointment of the foreign representative made by the order.

Credit for recovery in other jurisdictions

60. (1) In making a compromise or an arrangement of a debtor company, the following shall be taken into account in the distribution of dividends to the company's creditors in Canada as if they were a part of that distribution:

- (a) the amount that a creditor receives or is entitled to receive outside Canada by way of a dividend in a foreign proceeding in respect of the company; and
- (b) the value of any property of the company that the creditor acquires outside Canada on account of a provable claim of the creditor or that the creditor acquires outside Canada by way of a transfer that, if it were subject to this Act, would be a preference over other creditors or a transfer at undervalue.

Restriction

(2) Despite subsection (1), the creditor is not entitled to receive a dividend from the distribution in Canada until every other creditor who has a claim of equal rank in the order of priority established under this Act has received a dividend whose amount is the same percentage of that other creditor's claim as the aggregate of the amount referred to in paragraph (1)(a) and the value referred to in paragraph (1)(b) is of that creditor's claim.

Court not prevented from applying certain rules

61. (1) Nothing in this Part prevents the court, on the application of a foreign representative or any other interested person, from applying any legal or equitable rules governing the recognition of foreign insolvency orders and assistance to foreign representatives that are not inconsistent with the provisions of this Act.

Public policy exception

(2) Nothing in this Part prevents the court from refusing to do something that would be contrary to public policy

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED
AND IN THE MATTER OF ROCKPORT BLOCKER, LLC, THE ROCKPORT GROUP HOLDINGS, LLC, TRG 1-P HOLDINGS, LLC, TRG
INTERMEDIATE HOLDINGS, LLC, TRG CLASS D, LLC, THE ROCKPORT GROUP, LLC, THE ROCKPORT COMPANY, LLC, DRYDOCK
FOOTWEAR, LLC, DD MANAGEMENT SERVICES LLC AND ROCKPORT CANADA ULC (THE "DEBTORS")

APPLICATION OF ROCKPORT BLOCKER, LLC, UNDER SECTION 46 OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c.
C-36, AS AMENDED

ONTARIO

**SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT TORONTO

FACTUM

(Returnable July 20, 2018)

BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower

22 Adelaide Street West | Toronto ON M5H 4E3

Tel: 416-367-6000

Fax: 416-367-6749

Roger Jaipargas – LSO No. 43275C

Tel: 416-367-6266

rjaipargas@blg.com

Alex MacFarlane – LSO No. 28133Q

Tel: 416-367-6305

amacfarlane@blg.com

Evita Ferreira – LSO No. 69967K

Tel: 416-367-6708

eferreira@blg.com

Lawyers for Rockport Blocker, LLC, The Rockport Group
Holdings, LLC, TRG 1-P Holdings, LLC, TRG Intermediate
Holdings, LLC, TRG Class D, LLC, The Rockport Group, LLC,
The Rockport Company, LLC, Drydock Footwear, LLC, DD
Management Services LLC and Rockport Canada ULC